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Effective Benchmarking for Project Management

Robert C. Camp, in his book, *Benchmarking: The Search for Industry Best Practices that Lead to Superior Performance*, states that benchmarking, by way of a working definition, can be best be described as “the search for industry best practices that lead to superior performance.”

Benchmarking encourages an external view to ensure the correctness of setting objectives and developing the internal actions necessary to achieve those objectives. It involves key process steps that are indigenous to any industry:

**Benchmarking Process Steps**

1. **IDENTIFY WHAT IS TO BE BENCHMARKED**
2. **IDENTIFY COMPARATIVE COMPANIES**
3. **DETERMINE DATA COLLECTION METHOD AND COLLECT DATA**
4. **DETERMINE CURRENT PERFORMANCE “GAP”**
5. **PROJECT FUTURE PERFORMANCE LEVELS**
6. **COMMUNICATE BENCHMARK FINDINGS AND GAIN ACCEPTANCE**
7. **ESTABLISH FUNCTIONAL GOALS**
8. **DEVELOP ACTION PLANS**
9. **IMPLEMENT SPECIFIC ACTIONS AND MONITOR PROGRESS**
10. **RECALIBRATE BENCHMARKS**

**Illustration reprinted with permission from Robert C. Camp, author of Benchmarking: The Search for Industry Best Practices that Lead to Superior Performance (ASQC Quality Press, 1989).**
Executives have long recognized that it is not acceptable to stand still in a world where change can lead to loss of competitive advantage in the blink of an eye. Leaders must monitor current performance and establish programs of improvement that continually enhance the performance of their organizations. Benchmarking recognizes and addresses the fact that you cannot effectively manage what you cannot measure. Accordingly, it is heavily focused on self-awareness gained through measurement and the use of key metrics.

For an organization dedicated to substantial improvement, the benefits of benchmarking are manifold. Among the most important are:

- **The continuous assessment of an operation’s performance against that of its competitors.**
- **The adoption of world-class practices to improve performance and competitive advantage and gain superiority.**
- **The facilitation of breakthrough thinking by direct observation of what has been possible elsewhere.**
- **The mitigation of risk associated with change, since the change is built on the observations of what has allowed others to succeed.**

Leaders must set the improvement agenda by defining the areas for improvement and setting the priorities. Secondly, they must champion actions based on data (metrics) and organizational self-awareness. They must also ensure or be sure that the metrics in use in the organization are truly diagnostic of the processes delivering value and relevant to the value proposition of the operating units.

As an example, or a case study in the aggregate, Dr. William Ibbs and Justin Reginato in their research to benchmark the Value of Project Management documented that, on average, companies that are less mature in their project management processes miss schedule targets by 40 percent. Additionally, they miss their cost estimates by as much as 20 percent. Their research, contained in their book, *Quantifying the Value of Project Management*, further documents that the cost of project management in less mature companies ranges between 11 and 20 percent as compared to more mature companies, where the range is from six to seven percent. Who can afford to leave this potential cost savings untapped? Clearly the research by Ibbs and Reginato provides a compelling argument for an organization to self-assess its project management maturity and set goals to achieve the maturity levels where the return on investment (ROI) is at a maximum.

As suggested by James D. Young, senior consultant at Indeco Limited, benchmarking is essential for effective project management. Young states that benchmarking can take many forms and can be undertaken for a broad range of reasons:

- **To establish performance in comparison to competitors – determining whether a company’s project delivery capability is as effective as its competitors’.**
- **To determine whether the performance of the organization is improving – monitoring a metric over a period of time to determine whether improvements are being achieved.**
- **To establish performance by comparison with companies or organizations that have a similar focus – for example, measuring the competency of project management staff. This is often done where no industry standard is available for the metric.**
- **To monitor the effect of an initiative, for example, training on the performance of projects.**

Good benchmarking, whether for measuring the success of a specific project or an organization’s overall maturity and/or performance levels, is dependent on selecting the right set of metrics for useful and productive measurement. The purpose of this report is to provide the perspective of five noted contributors in the field of project management benchmarking, and to present a balanced set of metrics to executives who have a keen interest in project management. Some or all of these metrics may be applicable in helping leaders compare the effectiveness of their organizations against key competitors within their industries. These metrics, however, are only a subset of what can be considered relevant. It clearly remains the responsibility of the executive to ensure the proper selection and use of metrics that are most relevant to his or her organization and the identified goals for improvement.
The Role of Metrics in Benchmarking

“A company’s portfolio of projects is the vehicle for developing and delivering new products and services to clients. Whichever it is, the company needs to know how well its portfolio is performing.”

James D. Young >> Senior Consultant, Indeco Limited

Young believes that the measurement and monitoring of specific areas of performance allows management to determine whether desired performance levels are being achieved or whether improvements are being made. Crucially, it also allows failures in performance to be identified and management actions to be taken. These measures are commonly known as "metrics."

Young further states that metrics can either be empirical, as in "number of concurrent projects," or subjective, as in "client satisfaction." They can also be classified as input or output measures. Input measures, for example, "highly competitive project managers," indicate that projects should perform well, while output measures indicate that projects are performing well, such as "return on investment."

Metrics that have an empirical basis are generally more reliable and easy to update as the data can generally be derived from project control, finance and human resources systems. While metrics do not have to have an empirical basis, efforts must be made to ensure that subjective measures are described in sufficient detail to allow them to be applied consistently every time.

Adds Young, "When using metrics and considering which are most relevant to your particular organization and industry, one should be well aware that, even though metrics provide a valuable window into underlying organizational strengths and weaknesses, they should be considered as ‘means’ not ‘ends.’ To have real meaning, metrics must be compared with a reference point. This process is known as benchmarking, and it is critical in the management of any organization.”
Benchmarking in Project Management

The identification of appropriate benchmark metrics for project management requires an identification of the objectives of benchmarking, according to Mark E. Mullaly, PMP, president of Interthink Consulting Incorporated. He believes that benchmarking has an underlying goal of improvement. He further believes that in looking at the improvement of project management capabilities, there are three key dimensions that drive organizational performance of project management:

- **Process Maturity.** Process maturity defines the quality, rigor or level of performance of an overall process. In effect, it is a measure of the quality and capability of a process.
- **Process Effectiveness.** Process effectiveness does not evaluate the quality of a process for its own sake, but instead looks at how useful and relevant the process is in supporting the specific types of projects being conducted and the overall culture of an organization. Do the processes make sense? Are they appropriate for the size and type of projects being conducted?
- **Project Effectiveness.** Project effectiveness explores how successful projects are in delivering on their objectives. It looks at the traditional performance measures of schedule, cost and resource effort against original project baselines, and whether the project delivered on its expected scope and outcomes.

"All too often, the benchmarking of project management looks only at project effectiveness – are we delivering projects on time, on budget and to specification, and how much better are we at this than our competitors? – while ignoring the effectiveness and maturity of the underlying processes," says Mullaly. "The latter points are particularly relevant to the services sector, where the results of a project tend to be less tangible than other market sectors, and the need to be able to reliably and measurably deliver positive end results is therefore that much more important."

"While financial outcomes are certainly important, a balanced set of benchmarking metrics should also include measures for people and their capabilities, for organizational processes and practices, and for achievements in the marketplace."

Dr. Terence Cooke-Davies  >> Managing Director, Human Systems Limited

Ten common metrics for effective benchmarking in project management are listed below, along with points to consider when evaluating the usefulness and applicability of the metric. As there is no single set of metrics that applies to all organizations, consider these metrics generic. It therefore becomes essential that each organization choose the performance indicators that reflect its own unique strategies and situations. They are not so much a "top ten" list but more a list of essential measurement categories that can be helpful guides in an organization's project management planning.
**The Metrics**

**Project cost**

William Ibbs, professor in the department of civil and environmental engineering at the University of California at Berkeley and also president of The Ibbs Consulting Group, believes that an organization must know how much is invested in project management to know if gains attributable to project management are appropriate. This involves tracking a broad range of cost factors including salaries, wages and benefits of project managers and project support personnel; the information technology costs of project management tools; and the amortized value of training, consulting, building rent, travel, etc.

"Other costs factor in as well, such as the cost of quality," says James S. Pennypacker, director of the Center for Business Practices at PM Solutions. Pennypacker defines "cost of quality" as the amount of money a business loses because its product or service was not delivered correctly in the first place. It includes total labor, materials and overhead costs associated with delivering products or services that fail to meet specifications or customer expectations.

Both Ibbs and Pennypacker believe that measuring cost efficiency, by means of a Cost Performance Index (CPI), is another useful tool within the project cost metric. According to earned value analysis, which integrates scope, cost and schedule measures to monitor project performance, the CPI is calculated by dividing the earned value of the project by the actual cost. Both feel this is an efficiency metric since it provides a measure of the value a project has generated per dollar spent. The standard deviation of CPI is a useful measure to gauge an organization's ability to estimate costs accurately.

**Project Schedule Performance**

Another useful project schedule metric emanating from earned value analysis is the Schedule Performance Index (SPI). SPI is defined as the earned value divided by the planned value delivered by the project. As in CPI, the standard deviation of SPI is a useful metric for establishing the organization's ability to schedule accurately. Both Ibbs and Pennypacker concur that the ability of an organization to estimate costs and schedule accurately enables it to make the most efficient use of its resources, both human and capital.

Gaining greater insight into cost and schedule performance was the objective of a detailed 1994 benchmarking survey authorized by B.C. Hydro, headquartered in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. The survey's purpose was to understand the key drivers that account for differences between average and industry-leading performers in the electric utilities industry. The study sought to identify those companies that had found ways to significantly reduce project management costs relative to the other companies surveyed, while maintaining a higher-than-average service level. The study provided numerous insights about project management, which are briefly summarized as follows:

>> Increasing the sophistication of project management is a worthy and wise investment, i.e., project spending variances were much lower when more sophisticated forms of project management were used.

>> Project managers in companies with specialized project management organizations handled more projects at the same time.

>> Companies were more likely to meet cost targets than schedule targets.

>> The engineering function is the least cost and schedule conscious.

Leading performer attributes included establishing a well-defined capital planning process; a strong corporate commitment to the project management concept; allowing a substantial level of project manager control; preparation of a detailed project plan; and flexible and responsive supporting systems for monitoring, controlling and adjusting project parameters through the life of the project.

Return on Investment

Any organization involved in project management must at some point determine what the value of project management is to its operation. Return on investment, defined by Young as “a calculation of the return (additional revenue or projected revenue) that undertaking a project will achieve over a given period of time,” is one way of determining this value.

Pennypacker believes that the most appropriate formula for evaluating project investment (and project management investment) is net benefits divided by cost. "By multiplying this result by 100, you can determine the percentage return for every dollar you have invested," says Pennypacker. "The key to the effectiveness of this metric is in placing a dollar value on each unit of data that can be collected and used to measure net benefits. This data can include contribution to profit, cost savings, an increase in quality of output converted to a dollar value, etc. Costs could include project design and development costs, cost of resources, cost of travel and expenses, overhead etc."

Staffing

"Senior management plays a vital role during a project. Organizations where senior management is involved only at the outset of a project demonstrate a much less mature overall process than do those organizations where senior management is involved throughout the duration of the project."

Mark E. Mullaly, PMP >> President, Interthink Consulting Incorporated

Effective project management requires an adequate staffing of project personnel. "People are the most critical project management resource," says Ibbs. "Project managers oversee project teams and are aided by project support personnel. Organizations need to be certain that they have not only the optimum number of staff but also the appropriate personnel ratios among those responsible for, and involved with, all aspects of project management."

Pennypacker believes that employee morale is also critical. He believes every organization should explore the turnover rate of its project managers. If it is significant, executives should know why. He recommends measuring morale by using an Employee Satisfaction Index (ESI). An ESI comprises a mix of soft and hard measures that are each assigned a weight based on their importance as a predictor of employee satisfaction levels. The ESI should include the following (percentage represents weight): climate survey results (e.g., pay, growth opportunities, benefits, stress levels, supervisor competence, trust, etc.) (35 percent); focus group results (10 percent); rate of complaints/grievances (10 percent); stress index (20 percent); voluntary turnover rate (15 percent); absenteeism rate (five percent); and the rate of transfer requests (five percent).

Equally important in matters of staffing is which area of the organization has responsibility for resource assignment. "An independent approach to resource management, where project staffing is coordinated through a human resources function, just as with organizational staffing approaches, results in a significant increase in overall project performance and strong recognition and respect for project management within the organization," says Mullaly.

Mullaly adds that a standardized definition of roles and responsibilities for those involved in project management should also be established. When roles and responsibilities are clearly defined, there is measurable improvement in overall process performance.

"Ensuring growth within these roles is important as well," says Dr. Terence Cooke-Davies, managing director of Human Systems Limited, "Organizations must regularly measure how project staff develop their own personal knowledge and competence, as well as how frequently and effectively these individuals are encouraged in the areas of creativity, learning and innovation."
Productivity

We all want our money's worth, which is why the productivity metric is so important. Pennypacker defines productivity as "output produced per unit of input." He believes that productivity measures tell you whether you are getting your money's worth from your people and other inputs to your organization.

Pennypacker suggests that a straightforward way to measure productivity across the board is to use revenue per employee as the key metric. Dividing revenue per employee by the average fully burdened salary per employee yields a ratio, which is the average-per-employee "Productivity Ratio" for the organization as a whole. The key to selecting the right productivity measurements, he adds, is to ask yourself whether the output being measured (the top half of the productivity ratio) is of value to your customers or key stakeholders. Cooke-Davies suggests that gross profit per employee is, likewise, a "bread and butter" metric.

Why is measuring productivity important? According to a study conducted by Fred Blanchard and Robert Hassold in 1995, a fiber glass company used standard productivity measures to evaluate its ability to deliver product cheaply and on schedule. Using the original productivity measures as a benchmark, the company introduced an incentive program linked to worker performance on a project. For every 40 hours worked, an employee received shares that could be redeemed at the end of the project if schedule, budget and other critical factors were met. At the end of the test project, the company found that production was two months ahead of schedule, productivity had increased by 2.5% and a portion of the budgeted workforce of 50 on that project was reassigned, allowing for more effective use of personnel. Workers redeemed their shares for cash incentives based on the amount of cost savings achieved. Blanchard, Fred; and Hassold, Robert. "Enhancing Productivity Through Incentives," Proceedings from the Project Management Institute Annual Seminars & Symposium, October 4-10, 1996, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

Project Cycle Time

According to Pennypacker, the project life cycle defines the beginning and the end of a project. Cycle-time measures are based on standard performance, meaning similar projects can be benchmarked to determine a Standard Project Life-Cycle Time. Measuring cycle times can also mean measuring the length of time to complete any of the processes that comprise the project life cycle.

"The shorter the cycle times, the faster the investment is returned to the organization. The shorter the combined cycle time of all projects, the more projects the organization can complete."

James S. Pennypacker >> Director, Center for Business Practices, PM Solutions

Mullaly adds that there is a strong correlation between process maturity and the means by which project completion is evaluated. Where project completion criteria are defined, either formally or informally, there is a noticeable improvement in process performance and maturity as compared to organizations that do not define completion criteria.

The Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory developed an expedited environmental management process to address the fact that environmental clean-ups were costing too much and taking too long. A team analyzed 20 clean-up projects to identify the factors associated with successful clean-ups. The new process that evolved included repeatable, measurable processes based on EPA requirements; and combined systems engineering and project management processes for better control. Blacker, Paul B.; and Winston, Rebecca. "Integration of Project Management and Systems Engineering: Tools for a Total Cycle Environmental Management System," Proceedings from the Project Management Institute Annual Seminars & Symposium, September 29-October 1, 1997, Chicago, Illinois, USA.
**Post-Project Reviews**

Young believes that post-project reviews represent a particularly important metric. He states that project practitioners holding formal reviews of their projects at completion greatly facilitate the process of identifying lessons learned while providing valuable feedback that can be very useful for future projects.

MDS Sciex, an organization new to project management, reported, "...We learned that our lack of a clear understanding of the execution of the post-mortem process prevented us from gaining many of the anticipated benefits." The company decided that to fix the problem, it would hold post-mortems after each project phase, collect information related to that specific project phase and involve only those people who contributed to that phase of the project. Through its new process, the organization felt that it would reduce or eliminate frequently occurring issues and that it would see a renewed interest in participation on project teams. Rasper, Vlad; Stanier, Michel; and Carluccio, Patrick. "A Real-Life Approach to Lessons Learned," Proceedings of the Project Management Institute Annual Seminars & Symposium, October 3-10, 2003, San Antonio, Texas, USA.

**Risk Management**

As with just about anything in life, identifying and managing risk makes things go smoother. This is equally true in project management. Risk management is consistently the single greatest indicator of overall project process maturity.

Mullaly suggests that particular areas of focus within an effective risk management metric should include a formal approach to risk identification and assessment, active monitoring of project risk factors throughout the project, and a commitment to conduct periodic risk reviews during the execution of the project.

"Risks can be classified as either medium or high," says Young. "It is often helpful in project management planning and implementation to classify ‘tendency’ risks, in other words, the number of new medium/high risks and the number of risks reducing in severity."

Essilor of America, Inc., a leader in ophthalmic optical products, operated in a competitive and dynamic environment that required acceptance of risk, particularly for market leaders. To identify and evaluate risk in projects, Essilor adopted a Nominal Group Technique (NGT) that relied on the knowledge and experience of the company's diverse range of experts within its workforce of 20,000 employees worldwide. The company developed a software tool to standardize NGT data and capture risk by specific type, whether cost, quality, schedule, etc. The risk was then ranked and qualified for analysis and decision-making by company managers. The project team conducted the initial risk assessment, and management made the final determination regarding the level of risk exposure the organization would accept. Githens, Gregory D., and Peterson, Richard J. "Using Risk Management in the Front End of Projects," Proceedings of the Project Management Institute Annual Seminars & Symposium, November 1-10, 2001, Nashville, Tennessee, USA.

**Alignment to Strategic Business Goals**

"Most project management metrics benchmark the efficiency of project management – doing projects right," says Pennypacker. "You also need a metric to determine whether or not you're working on the right projects, in other words, are the projects aligned with strategic goals?"

"One way to ensure your projects are strategically aligned with your organization is to conduct an internal survey among project management practitioners, business unit managers and executives. You can use a Likert scale from 1-10 to rate the statement: *Projects are aligned with the organization’s strategic objectives.*"

Adds Cooke-Davies, "For an organization to attain ‘portfolio success’ within its project management function, its projects must be aligned with organizational strategy. This includes an alignment between project spending and corporate strategic goals, as well as the overall corporate level of project delivery against plan, scope and budget."
"Delivering consistent customer satisfaction enables an organization to command greater loyalty from its customers than can its competitors. It's often the difference between simply doing business... and doing business well," says Cooke-Davies. Young interprets customer satisfaction to mean that customer expectations have been met and that clients are pleased with the performance of projects. He suggests that this metric can often be measured by using questionnaires asking clients to rank various aspects of the project team's performance.

Pennypacker suggests that there is another good way to measure the comfort level of your customers - the Customer Satisfaction Index. Based on a scale of 1-100, this index comprises hard measure of customer buying/use behavior and soft measures of customer opinions or feelings. The index is weighted based on how important each value is in determining overall customer satisfaction and buying/use behavior. This includes repeat and lost customers (30 percent); revenue from existing customers (15 percent); market share (15 percent); customer satisfaction survey results (20 percent); complaints/returns (10 percent) and project-specific surveys (10 percent).

Mullaly believes that there is one other important point to consider in your quest for customer satisfaction, and that is customer involvement. "Ensuring the involvement of your customers throughout a project not only contributes to project success but also represents a key indicator of process maturity. Today, 48.5 percent of organizations actively demonstrate the involvement of customers throughout the life cycle of a project from initiation through completion."

>> Conclusion

"It is tempting to try collecting and analyzing a lot of data. After seven years of project management benchmarking, we have concluded that it is better to collect a few strategic pieces of information, where Information = Quantitative data + Qualitative, anecdotal and honest opinions."

Dr. William Ibbs >> Professor, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California at Berkeley
President, The Ibbs Consulting Group

While benchmarking for project management should be a staple in the management practices of any successful business or organization, it is important to remember that establishing useful and meaningful metrics is very much an individual decision. The optimum set of metrics depends on the organization's strategies, technology levels, as well as the particular industry and environment in which it competes.

In addition, establishing workable benchmarking metrics should not be a short-term exercise. To get maximum informational benefit, they should be averaged, or indexed, over a large number of similar types of projects over a period of time, perhaps for a minimum of one year.
**About Microsoft Dynamics™: Winning Strategies for the Professional Services Industry**

Microsoft Dynamics gives your mid-size business the same kind of information-leveraging power that, until now, has been available only to very large professional services organizations. Microsoft Dynamics solutions for the Professional Services Industry are comprised of integrated and highly customizable systems that save time and money through every phase of your business – from opportunity management to engagement management and everything in between. It's a total enterprise solution that's simple and affordable. It can empower you to:

>> Make smarter, faster business decisions
>> Improve employee and business productivity
>> Gain a competitive advantage

**About The Project Management Institute (PMI)**

The Project Management Institute (PMI), with nearly 110,000 members in 135 countries, is the world's leading advocate for project management. PMI sets industry standards, conducts research and provides education, certification and professional exchange opportunities, designed to strengthen and further establish the profession. PMI advances the careers of practitioners, while enhancing overall business and government performance through documentation of return on investment. For more information, visit www.pmi.org

For more information on benchmarking, please visit the PMI® James R. Snyder Center for Project Management Knowledge & Wisdom, which can be accessed by visiting the PMI Web site at www.pmi.org.

**A Special Thanks to Our Contributing Experts**

The information contained in this document has been assembled thanks to the very able and much appreciated input of five renowned experts in the profession of project management with whom PMI has both the honor and privilege of working. These individuals are:

**Dr. Terence Cooke-Davies**
>> Managing Director, Human Systems Limited

**Dr. William Ibbs**
>> Professor, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
    University of California at Berkeley
    President, The Ibbs Consulting Group

**Mark E. Mullaly, PMP**
>> President, Interthink Consulting Incorporated

**James S. Pennypacker**
>> Director, Center for Business Practices, PM Solutions

**James D. Young**
>> Senior Consultant, Indeco Limited